UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/719,404  | 11/20/2003                          | Steven Edward Klein  | TUC920030147US1     | 3360             |
|   | 7590 10/14/200<br>YNES & VICTOR, LL | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| ATTN: IBM37   | ,                                   | WALSH, JOHN B        |                     |                  |
| 315 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, SUITE 210<br>BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212 |                                     |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                                     |                      | 2451                |                  |
|   |                                     |                      |                     |                  |
|   |                                     |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                                     |                      | 10/14/2008          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

krvuspto@ipmatters.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/719,404      | KLEIN ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
| John B. Walsh   | 2451         |  |

|  | JUIII D. Waisii   | 2431  |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | R ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C<br/>periods:</li> </ol>                                 | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance    | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| <ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>  | of the final rejection.   |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A   |   | in the final rejection, which                             | chever is later. In                      |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la   |   |   |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (  |   | FIRST REPLY WAS FIL                                       | LED WITHIN TWO                           |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date   | •   | 36(a) and the appropriate                                 | e extension fee                          |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s<br>set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later<br>may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).<br>NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF AFFEAL  2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp   | liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be  | filed within two months                                   | s of the date of                         |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                    |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |   | 20 ( ) ( 4 )  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>   |   |   | cause                                    |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   |   | i E below),   |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet  | •   | ducina or simplifyina th                                  | ne issues for                            |
| appeal; and/or   | appear by materially res  |   |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c   | corresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>  |   | mpliant Amendment (I                                      | PTOL-324).                               |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all   | owable if submitted in a separate, t  | timely filed amendmer                                     | nt canceling the                         |
| non-allowable claim(s).  | <b>–</b>  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven.</li> </ol>   |   | l be entered and an ex                                    | xplanation of                            |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   | ided below of appended.   |   |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7 and 10-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and</li> </ol>  |   |   |  |
| was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | sumcient reasons why the amdavi   | t of other evidence is                                    | necessary and                            |
| 9.   The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing  |   |   |  |
| entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   |   |   |  |
| 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attache                                  | ed.                                      |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | and by the an NOT also at the conflic   | and an income and the second                              | . 11                                     |
| 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been conside because:  | ered but does NOT place the applic  | cation in condition for a                                 | allowance                                |
| FC-FS teaches determining a valid initiator via a respons  | se of a LOGO or LSRJT frame. Suc  | ch a modification of Fr                                   | azier is a                               |
| combination of known elements yielding predictable resu  |   |   | <u> </u>                                 |
| 12. $\square$ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |  |
| 13.  Other:  |   |   |  |
|  | /III = 14/ · · ·  |   |  |
|  | /John B. Walsh/   | mit 0454  |  |
|  | Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit 2451  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)